



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	. []	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,907		12/30/2003	Saskia Marc Antoinette Van De Zande	2002.028 US C1	1430	
31846	7590	11/02/2005		EXAMINER		
INTERVE	T U.S.			CHEN, STAC	CY BROWN	
PATENT D	DEPARTM	IENT				
PO BOX 3	18		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MILLSBORO, DE 19966-0318				1648		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		/749,907	ANTOINETTE VAN DE ZANDE ET AL.				
		aminer	Art Unit				
		cy B. Chen	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	HE MAILING DATE risions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). communication. num statutory period will appr reply will, by statute, cause on the after the mailing date of the statute.	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be timely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>August 1(</u>	<u>0, 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .							
3) Since this application is in cond							
closed in accordance with the p	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9-11 and 13-16</u> i	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9-11 and 13-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9-11 and 13-16</u> is	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9-11 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	ю.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Application Papers	·		4.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examir	ner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl a) All b) Some * c) None		rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the Intern	•	• • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attach mont/o)		•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	49 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/749,907 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response filed August 10, 2005 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11 and 13-16 are pending and under examination. The declaration of William P. Ramey regarding the deposited material (ECACC accessions 99011472, 99011473 and 99011474) is acknowledged and has been considered. The declaration satisfies the biological deposit requirements for the above named antibodies.

- 2. The following objection and rejections are either moot or withdrawn:
 - The objection to claim 7 for reciting the acronym, "IFT" and not providing a complete spelling at its first recitation is most in view of the cancellation of claim 7.
 - The rejection of claims 2, 7 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, is most in view of the cancellation of those claims 2, 7 and 8.
 - The rejection claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is most in view of cancelled claims 2, 3, 7, 8 and 12.
 - The rejection of claim 1, 8-10, 12, 13 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rekik et al. (Avian Diseases, 1992, 36:237-246) is moot with regard to claims 8 and 12, now cancelled, and withdrawn with regard to claims 1, 9, 10, 13 and 16 in view of Applicant's amendment. Please note that this rejection is withdrawn solely because of the limitations (improper new matter) added to claim 1 and all dependent claims in the amendment of August 10, 2005. Should Applicant remove the new matter, this rejection may be reinstated.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,907

Art Unit: 1648

• The rejection of claims 1, 3-6, 8-10, 12-16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rekik et al. in view of Ashmead et al. (US 5,162,369) and Rosenberger et al. (US 5,525,342) is most with respect to claims 3, 8 and 12, now cancelled, and withdrawn with respect to claims 1, 4-6, 9, 10 and 13-16 in view of Applicant's amendment. Please note that this rejection is withdrawn solely because of the limitations (improper new matter) added to claim 1 and all dependent claims in the amendment of August 10, 2005. Should Applicant remove the new matter, this rejection may be reinstated.

Claim Objections

3. (*New objection*) Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11 and 13-16 are objected for the following informality: Claim 1 and dependent claims recite a misspelling of the term, "ECACC".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. (New rejection) Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claim limitations added to claim 1 and all depending claims constitutes new matter that was not present in the application at the time of filing.

The claims as amended recite, "A method of propagating an avian Reovirus, belonging to an antigenic class of avian Reovirus ERS isolates, on Vero cells, without prior adaptation,

Application/Control Number: 10/749,907

Art Unit: 1648

wherein the avian Reovirus is able to induce antiserum in an animal, which antiserum causes a reduction of the plaques formed by avian Reovirus ERS, a sample which is deposited at the ECACC under accession no. 99011475, of at least 75% in a plaque reduction assay and wherein the avian Reovirus positively reacts with polyclonal avian Reovirus antiserum but not with monoclonal antibodies identified by accessions nos. 99011472, 99011473 and 99011474, samples of which are deposited at the ECAC" [underline and bold emphasis added], etc. This deposited material and 75% plaque reduction is not described in the specification. Therefore, Applicant was not in possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing the instant application.

It is noted that Applicant refers to USSN 09/493,484, now US Patent 6,951,650, issued on October 4, 2005. This application is in no way related to the referenced patent. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to US 6,951,650 is ineffective because incorporations by reference must be accompanied by a statement that the material being inserted is the material incorporated by reference and the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f). See MPEP 2163.07(b) and 608.01(p) for Office policy regarding incorporation by reference. If the incorporated material is relied upon to meet any outstanding objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office, the correction must be made within any time period set by the Office for responding to the objection, rejection, or other requirement for the incorporation to be effective. Compliance will not be held in abeyance with respect to responding to the objection, rejection, or other requirement for the incorporation to be effective. In no case may the correction be made later than the close of prosecution as defined in 37 CFR 1.114(b), or abandonment of the application, whichever occurs earlier.

5. (New rejection) Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It is apparent that the Reovirus strain deposited at the ECACC under accession number 99011475 is required to practice the claimed invention because it is a necessary limitation for the success of the invention as stated in the claims. As a required element it must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification, or otherwise readily available to the public. The specification does not disclose any information about strain 99011475.

When a deposit is made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty and that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirements. See 37 CFR 1.808. In addition the identifying information set forth in 37 CFR 1.809(d) should be added to the specification. See 37 CFR 1.803 - 37 CFR 1.809 for additional explanation of these requirements.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,907 Page 6

Art Unit: 1648

6. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11 and 13-16 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of propagating ERS 1037, ERS 060E and ERS 074 in Vero cells without prior adaptation, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of propagating any avian Reovirus without prior adaptation to Vero cells.

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but fail to persuade. Applicant argues that the claims as amended recite a specific class of avian Reovirus, thus limiting the scope of the invention to a smaller class of avian Reovirus. In response to Applicant's argument, the amendment to the claims does not limit the scope of the avian Reovirus to any particular ERS isolate. Claim 1 recites as an example, ERS isolate 99011475, however the wording of the claim does not limit the claim to a particular isolate. The scope of the claims includes any ERS isolate, which is not enabled for reasons of record set forth in the previous Office action.

7. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11 and 13-16 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims are drawn to a method of propagating avian Reovirus that are isolated from poultry and grown to suitable titer on Vero cells, without prior adaptation, comprising the steps of inoculating a Vero cell line with the avian Reovirus, allowing the Reovirus to multiply, and harvesting the avian Reovirus. The claims encompass embodiments

Application/Control Number: 10/749,907 Page 7

Art Unit: 1648

that are not adequately described, namely, avian reverses isolated from the wild, that do not require adaptation to a Vero cell line and produce particular titers.

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but fail to persuade. Applicant argues that the claims as amended recite a specific class of avian Reovirus, thus limiting the scope of the invention to a smaller class of avian Reovirus. In response to Applicant's argument, the amendment to the claims does not limit the scope of the avian Reovirus to any particular ERS isolate. Claim 1 recites as an example, ERS isolate 99011475, however the wording of the claim does not limit the claim to a particular isolate. The scope of the claims includes any ERS isolate, for which Applicant has not demonstrated possession for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

8. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11 and 13-16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are drawn to a method of propagating an avian Reovirus belonging to an antigenic class of avian Reovirus ERS isolates. Regarding claims 1, 4-6, 9-11 and 13-16, the phrase "a sample of which" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Specifically, it is not clear whether the ECACC no. 99011475 is meant to be included in the claimed invention since it is just a sample of an ERS isolate.

Conclusion

9. No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,907

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy B. Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-0896. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James C. Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Stacy B. Chen
October 31, 2005

Stay B. Chen